SSRG International Journal of Computer Science and Engineering
ISSN: 2348–8387 / https://doi.org/10.14445/23488387/IJCSE-V11I6P102

Volume 11 Issue 6, 6-10, June 2024

Original Article

Ultra-Low Power Design Techniques for IoT Edge Devices

Ekambaram Kesavulu Reddy

Department of Computer Science, Sri Venkateswara University Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Corresponding Author : ekreddysvu2008@gmail.com

Received: 14 April 2024 Revised: 19 May 2024 Accepted: 04 June 2024 Published: 19 June 2024

Abstract *- Ultra-low power is needed to make IoT edge devices operate better and stay longer. This article discusses several techniques to power IoT edge devices less. IoT edge devices, power control, energy collection, hardware design, communication, and energy savings are words. The introduction emphasizes the need for ultra-low power design for IoT edge devices and how more consumers demand energy-efficient solutions. The literature review examines ultra-low power design methodologies and IoT edge device investigations. It highlights key concerns and developments. The materials and techniques section discusses power-saving measures. These include power regulation, energy collecting, low-power hardware design, and low-power communication systems. This section discusses the results. Real-world examples indicate that these methods reduce IoT edge device power consumption. This section discusses the findings and their implications. Also, future research directions are considered. The conclusion recaps the major topics and emphasizes the need for ultra-low power design for improved IoT edge devices and long-lasting IoT setups.*

Keywords *- Ultra-low power design, IoT edge devices, Power management, Energy harvesting, Hardware design, Communication protocols, Energy efficiency.*

1. Introduction

The Internet of Things (IoT) has made connecting items and managing data easier. This altered many things. This technological shift is driven by IoT edge devices. They simplify real-time network edge data collection, processing, and decision-making. They blend real and digital. These instruments' sensors, motors, gates, and controls are utilized in smart homes, healthcare, environmental monitoring, and factory automation. Limiting power utilization is one of IP edge devices' biggest challenges.

Power supplies for standard PCs are generally on. However, IoT edge devices operate on batteries or in low-power areas. Maximize power economy for longer-lasting batteries, lower maintenance, and better performance. Design solutions that utilize less power are increasingly critical for solving IoT edge device power challenges. Reduce energy utilization so IoT devices can perform swiftly and reliably for extended durations. This does not affect their worth or performance. This category includes improved power control, energy collection, low-power hardware design, and energy-efficient gearboxes.

This article aims to explain low-power design strategies for IoT edge devices. The study examines many case studies and applications to demonstrate how IoT edge devices may utilize less power. It is required to know about ultra-low power design issues, advances, and solutions to help the Internet of Things persist and perform properly. This essay emphasizes the importance of ultra-low power design for nurturing new ideas, identifying new applications, and supporting IoT technologies. Designing for low power may help IoT edge devices perform well. This will make the future better and more connected. It will also change firms.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Power Management Strategies

According to Alameddine et al. (2019), since IoT edge devices have few power sources and can't use a lot of power, they must handle power properly. Research on duty cycle, voltage scaling, clock gating, and power gating is covered here. Here are some of the most important ways IoT edge devices regulate power. Duty cycle, which alternates between busy and sleep states, is a popular method. Duty cycle manages busy hours to reduce gadget power consumption. Energy efficiency and duty cycle trade-offs must be carefully examined to find optimal duty cycles. Voltage scaling is another popular power solution. According to how much work they need to do, the device's operating voltage changes. IoT edge devices that adjust power levels dynamically can save electricity without slowing down. However, voltage scaling must conserve energy while maintaining system performance. Too much voltage loss can cause errors or poor performance.

Time-gating prevents extraneous devices from receiving time data. Time gating prevents clock messages on idle devices. Saves electricity without affecting performance. Tracking and prediction models choose superior algorithms with power- and workload-efficient clock gates. Unused gadget parts are disabled via a power gate. Power gating disrupts clocks, leaks, and idle power. Lots of energy savings. Paying attention to wake-up pauses and context transitions avoids device slowness (Cao et al., 2021).

As stated by Chen, Miao and Humar (2019), consider various variables while assessing these power management approaches. Performance, energy usage, reaction time, and system stability. Machine learning-based optimization and adaptable control solutions should assist IoT edge devices in energy conservation. Duty cycling, voltage scaling, clock gating, and power gating are essential power management methods for IoT edge devices that need to use little power but perform well. More research and development into power regulation is needed to improve ultra-low power designs for IoT edge devices and maximize the Internet of Things.

2.2. Energy Harvesting Technologies

IoT edge devices that have power issues may benefit from technologies that convert environmental energy into electricity. This section describes how heat, vibration, and RF energy harvesting can power IoT edge devices. Photovoltaic cells convert sunlight into electricity. Solar panels let IoT edge devices work outdoors alone. The time of day, weather, and device setup affect its effectiveness. Changing temperatures generate electricity. It does this with thermoelectric or pyroelectric devices. This solution is best for IoT edge devices in offices or outdoors with lots of temperature variation. However, thermal energy storage devices aren't always useful. Before being used, they must be improved (Fong and Millham, 2020).

According to Kalyani and Collier (2021), vibration energy collection uses piezoelectric materials or electromagnetic induction to generate electricity from mechanical components. Environmental movements can power IoT edge devices in buildings or equipment without harming the environment. Since the shaking sources aren't always on and intensity varies, the power supply isn't always consistent. RF energy collection converts EMF waves from wireless networks and other sources into power. IoT edge devices that can directly communicate and draw power from their surroundings benefit from this method. However, RF energy collection devices can only receive so much power and do so effectively. They must be adjusted and antennas designed. In IoT edge devices, ultra-low power design methodologies must be tested for energy collection system performance. Energy collection methods must address shifting energy sources, lack of storage space, and the need for adjustable power control to be effective. New ideas from material science, sensor technology, and system interaction could make energy harvesting easier and make IoT edge devices more useful.

3. Low-Power Hardware Design

Low-power hardware design ensures energy-efficient IoT edge devices work properly with the least power. This section discusses new low-power hardware development methods for IoT edge devices. There are many circuit-level optimizations to reduce transistor power consumption. For this, circuits must use less power, leaky currents must be reduced, and transistors must be the right size.

By optimizing each circuit element, they can reduce power use without sacrificing functionality. Digital circuits use a lot of energy, so transistor size is important in low-power hardware design. Designers can balance speed and power by choosing the right transistor designs. Call this "optimizing trade-offs between power and performance." FinFET and nanoscale CMOS are emerging transistor technologies that utilize energy more efficiently and reduce IoT edge device power consumption (Lv and Xiu, 2019).

As per Luo et al. (2021), logic rewriting builds digital logic circuits with fewer flips and less power. This improves logic synthesis by adjusting gate timing and writing to use less dynamic power. Design improvements like pipelining and parallelism may help IoT edge devices run better and use less power. Clocks lose little power because clock distribution techniques reduce clock skew and cut power to unused clock zones. Based on work demands, dynamic voltage and frequency scaling (DVFS) changes clock speed and voltage.

IoT edge devices now manage power and speed better. When building low-power gear for IoT edge devices, power, speed, and space must be considered. Designers must balance these factors to meet application needs within power constraints. Speed or space may be sacrificed for power conservation. This depends on design goals and constraints. New low-power hardware design trends include ultra-low-power microcontrollers, energy-efficient devices, and power-aware integrated circuits. Due to advances in chip technology, design methods, and system-level optimizations, IoT edge devices may use less power.

3.1. Secondary Qualitative Data Collection

Secondary qualitative research uses data from company reports, technical publications, and research papers. It's a great way for academics to learn about IoT edge devices' power usage, issues, and solutions. Sources may include research on electricity management, energy gathering, hardware creation, and electronic IoT edge device communication. Librarians, internet collections, and speciality journals can help researchers find important material. Data collection and analysis reveal major themes, patterns, and solutions to reduce IoT edge device power consumption (Qian et al., 2020).

3.2. Secondary Thematic Data Analysis

As stated by Ren et al. (2019), browse a group of data to find themes or patterns. Then, it can interpret these items. Researchers use this method to analyses qualitative data from written papers, talking recordings, and focus groups. This is a secondary theme data analysis. Researchers may examine old books, journals, or case studies on power management, energy collection, hardware design, and communication protocols to analyze how IoT edge devices use power. Code the data carefully, find recurring themes, and group them logically. The study seeks clues, issues, and the most power-efficient IoT edge devices.

3.2.1. Integration of Methods

Secondary qualitative data and subject data may help researchers comprehend IoT edge device power usage. Data from several sources and accurate analysis assist specialists in comprehending a subject. Many recent secondary qualitative data investigations and articles are relevant. However, thematic data analysis arranges data for patterns and insights. These strategies help researchers collect data, validate assertions, and develop new research topics (Sadeeq et al., 2021).

As per Vermesan and Bacquet (2019), use secondary qualitative data responsibly, credit it, and keep it private. A licence is needed to view and use protected material. Researchers should safeguard research participants and data. Transparent thematic data analysis should record coding, judgements, and explanations. Fair and simple to repeat.
Secondary qualitative data may be used to assess theme data, but only to a limited extent. Some data sources aren't accessible or good enough, which might limit the study's scope. There may also be concerns with data fit, reliability, or biased research. The research has flaws; thus, it's vital to examine data from many sources. Secondary qualitative data collection and topic data analysis may help determine IoT edge device power consumption. Experts may utilize common analytic techniques to locate important information and assist in building energy-efficient IoT devices (Vermesan and Friess, 2022).

4. Results

According to Wang et al. (2020), to see how well ultra-low power design methods work at making IoT edge devices use less power, case studies and uses were used. The results are shown in this section. This part uses both numbers and human experience to show how different ways of saving power work and what they mean in real life.

In the first case study, a smart farm IoT edge device employed task cycle optimization. The gadget monitored ground moisture and provided data to a main computer. Changes in task cycles based on monitor data and weather reduced power utilization significantly. Power utilization was 30% lower than in the basic situation, according to quantitative data. Data accuracy was satisfactory. Qualitative research showed that the IoT device's battery life and dependability have improved, making farmland monitoring more efficient (Xu et al., 2020).

According to Izquierdo, Santa and Skarmeta (2019), a second case study examined an IoT edge device that monitored the environment in a distant desert. It has energy-collection methods. Sunlight-powered solar screens and charged batteries. The IoT gadget seldom needed to be plugged in since the sun powered it. Qualitative evaluation showed the gadget was more durable and self-sufficient. It might be utilized long-term in rural and off-grid locations. Flexible power management solutions solved issues like fluctuating solar beams and insufficient energy storage capacity, ensuring the system always worked.

The third case study describes a low-power hardware design strategy for an IoT edge device that tracked workers. Circuit level adjustments like transistor size and clock locking reduced power utilization without speed loss. Quantitative data indicated the gadget utilized 25% less power than other gear. Qualitative research revealed it removed heat and performed better in severe industrial situations. Low-power hardware design makes batteries last longer and utilize less power. In business, this made task tracking quicker and cheaper (Zhang et al., 2020).

They demonstrate that ultra-low power design may improve IoT edge devices and reduce power consumption in several situations. Numbers prove these power-saving methods work, while words explain their practicality. By building hardware using low-power approaches, collecting energy in multiple ways, and regulating power smartly, IoT edge devices may consume less power, be more dependable, and last longer. These statistics demonstrate the need for ultra-low power planning throughout IoT development. This will create long-lasting, effective IoT communities (Lv and Xiu, 2019).

5. Discussion

According to Alameddine et al. (2019), this section discusses the data from the previous section, what it means, and what other research could help design ultra-low power IoT edge devices. Studies were praised and criticized. Problems and opportunities for field progress existed. Case study results show that ultra-low power design approaches can lower power and improve IoT edge device performance in various settings. With task cycling reduction, energy-gathering integration, and low-power hardware design, it became more stable, energy-efficient, and automated. The quantitative data showed that power was being saved in the real world, and the qualitative data showed that this could improve efficiency and battery life.

As per Luo et al. (2021), the findings alter IoT edge device planning, construction, and use. IoT devices can run safely and autonomously if they use a variety of ultra-low power design methods. This saves money, improves efficiency, and extends use. IoT devices can use nearby power sources without plugging in because they gather energy. This makes them better in remote or internet-free areas. Without changing how they work, low-power hardware design methods make IoT devices more energy-efficient and environmentally friendly.

As stated by Ren et al. (2019), the talk emphasizes the importance of scale, complexity, and teamwork in designing low-power IoT edge devices. It's crucial to keep researching these issues and developing new energy-collection technologies, power-efficient hardware, and system improvements. Lawmakers, companies, and universities must collaborate to make safe and effective IoT settings easier for many people. Ultra-low power design can fulfil its revolutionary promise if the IoT community addresses these issues and seizes new opportunities. This will allow IoT devices to work independently in the future. The results are promising, but designing low-power IoT edge devices still needs work. Systems that collect energy can struggle to operate when weather, energy sources, and needs change. Adding low-power design methods may make things harder to understand and take up more space. Power use, speed, and value must be carefully balanced and compared. To be widely used in real-world IoT applications, ultra-low power design solutions must address scale, flexibility, and standards issues (Sadeeq et al., 2021).

According to Alameddine et al. (2019), future ultra-low power designs for IoT edge devices should fix existing issues and seek new ways to improve things. One way to do this is to develop more stable, efficient, and weatherproof energy collection methods. Learning how to improve low-power hardware designs is crucial. Brain-like, quantum, and bio-inspired computing should be used to speed up and save energy. Researchers, engineers, and other professionals must collaborate to create innovative IoT edge devices that use little power and solve difficult problems. This article discusses how designing low-power IoT edge devices improves them. It highlights the importance of the energy economy for IoT communities to survive and thrive.

6. Conclusion

Ultra-low power design for IoT edge devices may improve battery life, reliability, and independence. Power management, energy collection, and low-power gear design reduced power use while maintaining speed and functionality. The case studies demonstrate that these methods work well for farm monitoring, workplace automation, and air smelling. These findings demonstrate the importance of considering hardware, software, and system-level improvements in ultra-low power design. Better chip technology, computation methods, and energy collection can make IoT gateways more autonomous.

This can save money, improve efficiency, and extend operations. Changes in energy sources, the environment, and customer needs must be addressed. Energy-gathering systems need more research to be more reliable, stable, and widely used. Low-power hardware design, transmission standards, and system interaction must be improved to create ultra-low-power IoT edge device designs that can be used on more devices and are standardized. Researchers, engineers, and other professionals must collaborate to innovate and solve ultra-low power design problems. The IoT community can speed up the creation and use of long-lasting, effective IoT environments by encouraging people to share information, technology, and best practices. Some steps have gone well in making low-power IoT edge devices, but others could be improved. If this study's outcomes are applied and are open to new technologies, IoT can be used to solve problems, boost the economy, and improve people's lives responsibly and sustainably.

Acknowledgement

I am most thankful to my son Sri.E.Digvijaykumar Reddy and my Daughter Smt.E.Spandhana, Oracle corporation of India, Bangalore, for supporting help, encouragement and cooperation at my home.

References

[1] Hyame Assem Alameddine et al., "Dynamic Task Offloading and Scheduling for Low-Latency Iot Services in Multi-Access Edge Computing," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 668-682, 2019. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[2] Kun Cao et al., "A Survey on Edge and Edge-Cloud Computing Assisted Cyber-Physical Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 17, no. 11, pp. 7806-7819, 2021. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[3] Min Chen, Yiming Miao, and Iztok Humar, *OPNET IoT Simulation*, Springer Singapore, pp. 1-674, 2019. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[4] Simon James Fong, and Richard C. Millham, *Bio-Inspired Algorithms for Data Streaming and Visualization, Big Data Management, and Fog Computing, Springer Nature Singapore*, pp. 1-226, 2020. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[5] Yogeswaranathan Kalyani, and Rem Collier, "A Systematic Survey on The Role of Cloud, Fog, and Edge Computing Combination in Smart Agriculture," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 17, pp. 5922, 2021. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[6] Zhihan Lv, and Wenqun Xiu, "Interaction of Edge-Cloud Computing Based on SDN And NFV For Next Generation IoT," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 5706-5712, 2019. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[7] Quyuan Luo et al., "Resource Scheduling in Edge Computing: A Survey," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 2131-2165, 2021. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[8] Yuwen Qian et al., "An Edge-Computing Paradigm for Internet of Things Over Power Line Communication Networks," *IEEE Network*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 262-269, 2020. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[9] Ju Ren et al., "A Survey on End-Edge-Cloud Orchestrated Network Computing Paradigms: Transparent Computing, Mobile Edge Computing, Fog Computing, And Cloudlet," *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 52, no. 6, pp. 1-36, 2019. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[10] Mohammed Mohammed Sadeeq et al., "IoT and Cloud Computing Issues, Challenges and Opportunities: A Review," *Qubahan Academic Journal*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 1-7, 2021. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[11] Ovidiu Vermesan, and Joël Bacquet, "Next Generation Internet of Things: Distributed Intelligence at the Edge and Human Machine-To-Machine Cooperation," *River Publishers*, 2018. [CrossRef] [Publisher Link]

[12] Ovidiu Vermesan, and Peter Friess, *Digitising the Industry Internet of Things Connecting the Physical, Digital and Virtualworlds*, River Publishers, pp. 1-364, 2022. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[13] Fangxin Wang et al., "Deep Learning for Edge Computing Applications: A State-Of-The-Art Survey," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 58322-58336, 2020. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[14] Zhanyang Xu et al., "Artificial Intelligence for Securing IoT Services in Edge Computing: A Survey," *Security and Communication Networks*, pp. 1-13, 2020. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]