# Study of Cutting Forces In Hard Milling of Hardox 500 Steel Under MQCL Condition Using Nano Additives

Tran Minh Duc<sup>1</sup>, Tran The Long<sup>\*1</sup>, Tran Quyet Chien<sup>2</sup>, Ngo Minh Tuan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Manufacturing Engineering, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Thai Nguyen University of Technology, Thai Nguyen, Vietnam

<sup>2</sup>Mechanical workshop, Thai Nguyen University of Technology, Thai Nguyen, Vietnam

#### Abstract

This paper presents the experimental study on cutting forces in the hard milling process of Hardox 500 steel under the MQCL condition using Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>nano additives. The cooling and lubricating performance of MQCL is improved by using nanoparticles enriched in the emulsion-based fluid. Box-Behnken experimental design and ANOVA analysis are applied to determine the influence of investigated parameters in terms of cutting forces. The obtained results indicate that feed rate and nanoparticle concentration have strong effects on cutting forces. Moreover, cutting force components reduce significantly with the increase of cutting speed to 130 m/min, which is much higher than that of the manufacturer's recommendation due to the better cooling and lubricating effects. This study also provides a new alternative solution for difficult-to-cut materials like Hardox 500 steel while remaining environmentally friendly characteristics.

**Keywords** — Hard milling, MQCL, emulsion, nanoparticles, nanofluid, cutting force, hardox 500 steel.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Environmentally friendly manufacturing has attracted the growing attention of researchers and manufacturers around the world. The strict laws for encountering climate change have been introduced to promote the reduction or elimination the waste from manufacturing processes, including the used cutting fluid from the metal cutting processes [1]-[3]. Accordingly, dry cutting processes had been proposed and developed to use in machining practice. High cutting temperature and the demand for highgraded cutting tools are the main limitations, especially for hard cutting [4].

Hardox 500 steel is a special type of material, which combines hardness and toughness. It also possesses the extreme wear resistance to withstand heavy impact without permanent deformation or cracking. More and more hardox 500 steel applications have been seen in practice, but this type of steel is categorized as one of the difficult-tocut materials. It will be a big challenge for normal cutting tools under dry conditions due to low productivity and high machining cost [3],[5]-[8]. Recently, Minimum quantity lubrication (MQL) has been considered a promising alternative solution for dry and flood machining, which exhibits good lubrication due to the direct spray of oil mist to the cutting zone [9]-[10]. However, the low cooling effect is the main problem of the MQL technique, limiting the applicability to difficult-to-cut material due to enormous generated heat [11]-[13].

Minimum quantity cooling lubrication (MQCL) has been the new approach to provide the cooling and lubricating effects to the cutting zone while remaining the environmentally friendly characteristic [14]-[16]. There are only a few studies on this technique, and they are mostly focused on the idea of the MQL method with the based fluid havinga cooling effect of forming MQCL [17]-[18]. Therefore, applying a real MQCL device used for the hard machining process is needed to investigate the MQCL technique's development. The use of nano additives for MQCL based fluid is a novel research topic to improve its tribological property, from which the cutting performance enhances. In this paper, the authors are motivated to studythe hard milling process of Hardox 500 steel under the MQCL condition using nano additives in terms of cutting forces. Box-Behnken experimental design and ANOVA analysis are applied to determine the influence level of investigated parameters in terms of cutting forces.

### II. MATERIAL AND METHOD

### A. Experimental design

Minitab 18.0 software is used for Box-Behnken experimental design with three input parameters with two levels are listed in Table 1.

Table1.Box-Behnken experimental design								
Input parameter	Symbol	Low level (-1)	High level (+)	Response parameter				
Nanoparticle concentration, <i>np</i> (wt%)	$x_{I}$	0.5	1.5	Cutting forces				
Cutting speed, $V_c$ (m/min)	$x_2$	80	130					
Feed rate, $F$ (mm/tooth)	<i>X</i> 3	0.08	0.16	$\Gamma_x, \Gamma_y, \Gamma_z$				

Table 2. Chemical composition of	of hardox	500 steel
----------------------------------	-----------	-----------

Element	С	Si	Mn	Р	S	Cr	Ni	Mo	В
Weight (%)	0.3	0.7	1.6	0.25	0.01	1.5	1.5	0.6	0.005

Table 3. The mechanical property of Hardox 500 steel

Yield strength	Tensile strength	Elongation	Hardness	
(MPa)	(MPa)	(%)	(HRC)	
1250	1400	10		

## B. Experimental devices

Mazak vertical center smart 530C (made in Japan) was used for the experiments, shown in Figure 1. Hardox 500 steel samples with the dimensions of 150 mm  $\times$  40 mm  $\times$  40 mm are used (Figure 2). The chemical composition and mechanical properties are shown in Table 2, 3. The  $\Phi$ 50 face mill head with APMT 1604 PDTR LT30 cemented carbide inserts made by LAMINA Technologies (Sweden) was used (Figure 3). MQCL system consists of MQCL nozzle, air compressor, emulsion coolant 5 wt%., and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles with the grain size of 30nm. The MQCL parameters include air pressure of 6-8 bar, the flow rate at 0.5 ml/min. The temperature of output cool oil mist from the MOCL nozzle is 4 - 8°C with a room temperature of 24 - 27°C. The depth of the cut was fixed at 0.12 mm. For measuring cutting forces, Kistler quartz three-component dynamometer (9257BA) was used. The 3000868-Ultrasons-HD made by JP SELECTA - Spain was used to create Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>nanofluid.



Fig 1. Experimental setup



Fig 2.Hardox 500 steel sample



Fig 3. Milling head and cutting inserts

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Minitab 18 software is applied for the Box-Behnken experimental design. Each running trial is repeated three times under the same cutting parameters. The experiments are done by following the run order to obtain the cutting force values in Table 4.

StdOrder	RunOrder	PtType	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> (wt%)	$x_2$ (m/min)	<i>x</i> <sub>3</sub> (mm/tooth)	$F_x(N)$	$F_{y}(N)$	$F_{z}(N)$
1	10	2	0.5	80	0.12	82.5	116.1	134.5
2	2	2	1.5	80	0.12	105.3	136.3	183.9
3	1	2	0.5	130	0.12	78.4	118.5	135.0
4	18	2	1.5	130	0.12	84.7	124.9	136.1
5	16	2	0.5	105	0.08	70.1	104.8	116.2
6	22	2	1.5	105	0.08	75.6	114.6	123.9
7	4	2	0.5	105	0.16	97.8	158.7	181.2
8	24	2	1.5	105	0.16	109.5	148.9	188.8
9	30	2	1	80	0.08	75.0	121.4	141.0
10	12	2	1	130	0.08	55.8	102.4	105.0
11	29	2	1	80	0.16	84.5	124.7	143.8
12	27	2	1	130	0.16	90.9	120.3	194.5
13	15	0	1	105	0.12	81.0	133.9	148.7
14	6	0	1	105	0.12	84.5	132.1	150.2
15	11	0	1	105	0.12	73.9	130.5	148.3
16	14	2	0.5	80	0.12	74.5	111.3	130.0
17	5	2	1.5	80	0.12	101.2	124.7	180.0
18	28	2	0.5	130	0.12	74.3	106.2	128.8
19	9	2	1.5	130	0.12	84.5	128.6	146.1
20	13	2	0.5	105	0.08	65.1	102.0	125.4
21	23	2	1.5	105	0.08	85.0	117.8	128.7
22	3	2	0.5	105	0.16	85.8	128.7	188.9
23	21	2	1.5	105	0.16	104.3	146.1	182.3
24	19	2	1	80	0.08	75.3	114.6	125.0
25	8	2	1	130	0.08	61.1	102.6	112.0
26	7	2	1	80	0.16	79.8	118.9	138.7
27	25	2	1	130	0.16	98.9	131.4	172.0
28	20	0	1	105	0.12	84.8	132.7	155.8
29	26	0	1	105	0.12	87.4	135.4	154.7
30	17	0	1	105	0.12	85.3	131.3	157.2

Table 4. The experimental design with test run order and response parameters in term of cutting force components

ANOVA analysis is conducted at a confidence level of 95% (i.e., 5% significance level). The regression models of cutting forces  $F_{x}$ ,  $F_{y}$ ,  $F_{z}$  with the coefficient of determination ( $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ ) equal to 89.59, 85.34, and 85.34 are given below

 $F_{x} = 78.4 - 3.2 x_{1} + 0.145 x_{2} - 380 x_{3} + 23.69 x_{1} + x_{1} - 0.003 x_{2} + x_{2} - 0.275 x_{1} + x_{2} + 6.14 x_{2} + x_{3} (I)$ 

$$F_{y} = 68.9 + 60.5 x_{1} + 1.16 x_{2} - 879 x_{3} + 15.0 x_{1} + x_{1} - 0.010 x_{2} + x_{2} - 0.675 x_{1} + x_{2} + 13.85 x_{2} + x_{3} (2)$$

 $F_z = -44.8 + 11.95 x_1 + 2.180 x_2 + 509 x_3 - 0.012 x_2 x_2 - 2730 x_3 x_3 + 4.07 x_2 x_3 (3)$ 

Pareto charts and the plots of the main effects of investigated parameters on cutting forces  $F_x$ ,  $F_y$ ,  $F_z$  are shown in Figures 4-6. ANOVA analysis of investigated parameters for cutting forces  $F_x$ ,  $F_y$ ,  $F_z$  is given in Table 5–7. The last column of these tables indicates that most p-values are smaller than the significance level (0.05). It means that the control factors, such as nanoparticle concentration, cutting speed, and feed rate, significantly influence the response variables  $F_x$ ,  $F_y$ ,  $F_z$ .



Figure 4. Pareto chart of the effects of investigated parameters on cutting force *F<sub>x</sub>*: (a) Pareto chart, (b) Plot of main effects



Figure 5. Pareto chart of the effects of investigated parameters on cutting force  $F_y$ : (a) Pareto chart, (b) Plot of main effects



Figure 6. Pareto chart of the effects of investigated parameters on cutting force  $F_z$ : (a) Pareto chart, (b) Plot of main effects

Tuble 2. Results of Th to VII unarysis of cutting force 1 x							
Source	DF	Adj S.S.	Adj MS	<b>F-Value</b>	<b>P-Value</b>		
Model	7	4226.00	603.71	27.03	0.000		
Linear	3	3298.07	1099.36	49.23	0.000		
X1	1	924.16	924.16	41.38	0.000		
X2	1	153.14	153.14	6.86	0.016		
X3	1	2220.77	2220.77	99.45	0.000		

Table 5. Results of ANOVA analysis of cutting force  $F_x$ 

Square	2	358.15	179.08	8.02	0.002
X1*X1	1	274.94	274.94	12.31	0.002
X2*X2	1	62.64	62.64	2.81	0.108
2-Way Interaction	2	569.78	284.89	12.76	0.000
X1*X2	1	136.13	136.13	6.10	0.022
X2*X3	1	433.65	433.65	19.42	0.000
Error	22	491.28	22.33		
Lack-of-Fit	5	126.37	25.27	1.18	0.361
Pure Error	17	364.91	21.47		
Total	29	4717.28			

# Table 6. Results of ANOVA analysis of cutting force Fy

Source	DF	Adj S.S.	Adj MS	<b>F-Value</b>	P-Value
Model	7	15655.5	2236.5	18.29	0.000
Linear	3	11854.0	3951.3	32.32	0.000
X1	1	1053.0	1053.0	8.61	0.008
X2	1	140.4	140.4	1.15	0.295
X3	1	10660.6	10660.6	87.19	0.000
Square	2	770.2	385.1	3.15	0.063
X1*X1	1	104.5	104.5	0.85	0.365
X2*X2	1	625.3	625.3	5.11	0.034
2-Way Interaction	2	3031.3	1515.6	12.40	0.000
X1*X2	1	820.1	820.1	6.71	0.017
X2*X3	1	2211.1	2211.1	18.08	0.000
Error	22	2689.8	122.3		
Lack-of-Fit	5	2004.4	400.9	9.94	0.000
Pure Error	17	685.4	40.3		
Total	29	18345.3			

# Table 7. Results of ANOVA analysis of cutting force $F_z$

Source	DF	Adj S.S.	Adj MS	<b>F-Value</b>	P-Value
Model	6	4293.09	715.51	12.24	0.000
Linear	3	3077.58	1025.86	17.54	0.000
X1	1	571.21	571.21	9.77	0.005

X2	1	68.48	68.48	1.17	0.290
X3	1	2437.89	2437.89	41.69	0.000
Square	2	1024.41	512.21	8.76	0.001
X2*X2	1	931.68	931.68	15.93	0.001
X3*X3	1	138.89	138.89	2.38	0.137
2-Way Interaction	1	191.10	191.10	3.27	0.084
X2*X3	1	191.10	191.10	3.27	0.084
Error	23	1344.89	58.47		
Lack-of-Fit	6	603.20	100.53	2.30	0.082
Pure Error	17	741.69	43.63		
Total	29	5637.97			

The Pareto chart of the standardized effects with  $\alpha$  = 0.05 for the response parameters  $F_x$ ,  $F_y$ ,  $F_z$  is shown in Figure 4(a), 5(a), 6(a). The reference line of cutting force  $F_x$  has x coordinate of 2.07 (figure 4(a)), from which the investigated factors including nanoparticle concentration ( $x_1$ ), feed rate ( $x_3$ ), and interaction effects B.C. ( $x_2*x_3$ ), A.A. ( $x_1*x_1$ ) and A.B. ( $x_1*x_2$ ) have strong influences on  $F_x$ . Among these, feed rate causes the strongest effect, followed by nanoparticle concentration. The cutting speed ( $x_2$ ) and interaction effects B.B. ( $x_2*x_2$ ) have very little influence.

The reference line of cutting force  $F_y$  has x coordinate of 2.074 (figure 5(a)), from which the investigated factors including feed rate  $(x_3)$ , nanoparticle concentration  $(x_1)$ , and interaction effects B.C.  $(x_2^* x_3)$ , A.B.  $(x_1^* x_2)$  and B.B.  $(x_2^* x_2)$  have strong influences on  $F_y$ . Among these, feed rate also causes the strongest effect, followed by nanoparticle concentration. The cutting speed  $(x_2)$  and interaction effects A.A.  $(x_1^* x_1)$  have very little influence.

#### **IV.CONCLUSIONS**

The application of MQCL using Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> emulsionbased nanofluid has a strong effect on the hard milling process of Hardox 500 steel. The ANOVA results with Box–Behnken experimental design indicates the influence level of nanoparticle concentration (x1), cutting speed ( $x_2$ ), and feed rate ( $x_3$ ) on cutting forces  $F_{xx}$ ,  $F_y$ ,  $F_z$ . The increase of nanoparticle concentration and feed rate causes the growth of cutting forces. For increasing cutting speed from 105 to 130 m/min, cutting forces reduce significantly. It is one of the few studies on hard milling of Hardox 500 steel under

MQCL using Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> emulsion-based nanofluid, which contributes a novel alternative solution for difficult-to-

The reference line of cutting force  $F_z$  has x coordinate of 2.069 (figure 6(a)), from which the investigated factors including feed rate  $(x_3)$ , nanoparticle concentration  $(x_1)$ , and interaction effect B.B.  $(x_2^* x_2)$  have strong influences on  $F_z$ . The cutting speed  $(x_2)$  and interaction effects B.C.  $(x_2^* x_3)$ , CC  $(x_3^* x_3)$  have very little influence.

From the analysis, feed rate  $(x_3)$  has the strongest effect, followed by nanoparticle concentration  $(x_1)$ , while cutting speed  $(x_2)$  has little influence.

The effects of investigated factors on cutting force components  $F_{x_s}$ ,  $F_{y_s}$ ,  $F_{z}$  are shown in Figure 4(b), 5(b), 6(b). In general, when increasing nanoparticle concentration ( $x_1$ ) from 0.5 wt% to 1.5 wt%, the growing trend of cutting forces is reported. It is needed to make further studies to optimize this parameter. Cutting forces increase with cutting speed from 80 to 105 m/min and reach the largest values at 100-105 m/min. They much decrease when rising cutting speed from 105 to 130 m/min, suitable for the previous studies [12]. The cutting force components  $F_{x_s}$ ,  $F_y$ ,  $F_z$  rapidly increases with the rise of feed rate. cut materials.Simultaneously, this new approach remains an environmentally friendly characteristic, which is suitable for sustainable production.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The study supported Thai Nguyen University of Technology - Thai Nguyen University with the project number of DH2018-TN02-01.

#### REFERENCES

- Lee, P.-H.; Nam, J.S.; Li, C.; Lee, S.W. An experimental study on the micro-grinding process with nanofluid minimum quantity lubrication (MQL). Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf. 2012, 13, 331–338.
- [2] Garg, A.; Sarma, S.; Panda, B.; Zhang, J.; Gao, L. Study of the effect of nanofluid concentration on response characteristics of

machining process for cleaner production. J. Clean. Prod. 2016, 135, 476-489.

- [3] Tran Minh Duc; Tran The Long, Tran Bao Ngoc. Performance of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>nanofluids in minimum quantity lubrication in hard milling of 60Si<sub>2</sub>Mn steel using cemented carbide tools. Adv. Mech. Eng. 2017, 9, 1–9.
- [4] Davim, J.P. Machining of Hard Materials; Springer: London, UK, 2011.
- [5] Kang, M.; Kim, K.; Shin, S.; Jang, S.; Park, J.; Kim, C. Effect of the minimum quantity lubrication in high-speed end-milling of AISI D2 cold-worked die steel (62 HRC) by coated carbide tools. Surf. Coat. Technol. 2008, 202, 5621–5624.
- [6] Kumar, C.S.; Patel, S.K. Effect of WEDM surface texturing on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiCN composite ceramic tools in dry cutting of hardened steel. Ceram. Int. 2018, 44, 2510–2523.
- [7] Su, Y.; Li, Z.; Li, L.; Wang, J.; Gao, H.; Wang, G. Cutting performance of the micro-textured polycrystalline diamond tool in dry cutting. J. Manuf. Process. 2017, 27, 1–7.
- [8] Xing, Y.; Deng, J.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, G.; Zhang, K. Cutting performance and wear mechanism of nanoscale and microscale textured Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiC ceramic tools in dry cutting of hardened steel. Int. J. Refract. Met. Hard Mater. 2014, 43, 46– 58.
- [9] Joshi, K.K.; Kumar, R.; Anurag An Experimental Investigations in Turning of Incoloy 800 in Dry, MQL and Flood Cooling Conditions. Procedia Manuf. 2018, 20, 350– 357.
- [10] Tunc, L.T.; Gu, Y.; Burke, M.G. Effects of Minimal Quantity Lubrication (MQL) on Surface Integrity in Robotic Milling of Austenitic Stainless Steel. Procedia CIRP 2016, 45, 215–218.
- [11] Tran Minh Duc, Tran The Long, and Tran Bao Ngoc. Effectiveness of alumina nanofluid on slotting end milling performance of SKD 11 tool steel. Journal of Computational and Applied Research in Mechanical Engineering, Available

Online from 19 February 2019, doi:10.22061/JCARME.2019.4041.1484.

- [12] Tran Minh Duc, Tran The Long, Tran QuyetChien. Performance Evaluation of MQL Parameters Using Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub>Nanofluids in Hard Turning 90CrSi Steel. Lubricants 2019, 7 (5), 1-17.
- [13] Duc, T. M., Long, T. T., Dong, P. Q. Effect of the alumina nanofluid concentration on minimum quantity lubrication hard machining for sustainable production. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science 2019. Doi: 10.1177/0954406219861992.
- [14] Dong, P. Q., Duc, T. M., Long, T. T. Performance Evaluation of MQCL Hard Milling of SKD 11 Tool Steel Using MoS<sub>2</sub>Nanofluid. Metals, 2019, 9, p. 658. Doi: 10. 3390/met9060658.
- [15] Hirsch, R. The Use of the Expansion of Gases in a Centrifugal Field as Cooling Process. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 1947, 18, 108– 113.
- [16] Ramesh Ganugapenta, Selokar, G.R., Design and Performance Evaluation of a Vortex Tube form by Copper Material, SSRG International Journal of Mechanical Engineering 2018, 5(11), 1-6
- [17] Maruda, R. W., Krolczyk, G. M., Wojciechowski, S., Zak, K., Habrat, W., &Nieslony, P.. Effects of extreme pressure and anti-wear additives on surface topography and tool wear during MQCL turning of AISI 1045 steel. Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 2018, 32(4), 1585–1591. doi:10.1007/s12206-018-0313-7.
- [18] Maruda, R. W., Krolczyk, G. M., Niesłony, P., Krolczyk, J. B., &Legutko, S.. Chip Formation Zone Analysis During the Turning of Austenitic Stainless Steel 316L under MQCL Cooling Condition. Procedia Engineering, 2016, 149, 297–304.